



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,620	06/20/2001		Kazuhiro Okada	U 013510-6	6351
140	7590	01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
LADAS &	<del>-</del>	2 <b>T</b>		KWOK, H	IELEN C
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2856	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/885,620	OKADA, KAZUHIRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen C. Kwok	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cov r sh t with the o	correspond nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted to the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	January 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 44-48 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 44-48 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 137 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language priority acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. Into have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into the certified copies not receive stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196 first sentence of the specification of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 126 priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	tion No red in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Datest and Trademady Office			

Art Unit: 2856

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/06/03 has been entered.

### Specification

2. It should be noted that the "Amendment After Allowance" (considered a 312 Amendment) filed on 11/21/02 has not been entered. The reason for the above noted Amendment was to change the title from "multi-axial angular velocity sensor" to – angular velocity sensor --. If Applicant still wishes to change the title as set forth above, please make the amendment to the title in your response to this Office Action.

# **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Art Unit: 2856

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 44-48 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over either claims 1,2,4,5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,003,371 (Okada) or claims 1,4 of U.S. Patent 6,205,856 (Okada) or claims 1,4,7,11 of U.S. Patent 6,269,697 (Okada). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the pending Application Serial No. 09/885,620 are broader than the claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,003,371; 6,205,856; 6269,697. Furthermore, although the claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,003,371; 6,205,856; 6269,697 do not explicitly claim the subject matter in the instant Application, the disclosure in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,003,371; 6,205,856; 6269,697 suggests or teaches these subject matter as claimed. Hence, the claims in the pending

Art Unit: 2856

Application are not patentably distinct from the claims in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,003,371; 6,205,856; 6269,697.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,483,194 (Rudolf).

Rudolf discloses a sensor comprising, as illustrated in Figure 1, an oscillator having a mass 2; a sensor casing 4 for accommodating the oscillator; a flexible member 3 for connecting the oscillator to the sensor casing so that the oscillator can be moved with respect to the sensor casing; capacitance element including a first electrode (not shown, but described in column 2, lines 34-35) provided on a surface of the oscillator and a second electrode 5 provided on a surface of a fixed member fixed to the sensor casing. (See, column 2, lines 19 to 45).

It should be noted that the recitation of an angular velocity sensor has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not

Art Unit: 2856

depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural

limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA

1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

**Conclusion** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Helen C. Kwok whose telephone number is (703) 308-

8149. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4900.

Helen C. Kwok

When Karle

Page 5

hck

January 20, 2004